

History of special relativity 11 01 Newton 04 Poincaré

History of special relativity 01 Newton

[Although] [Isaac Newton](#) ---based> his theory /on/ absolute space and time,
he ---also adhered to> the [Principle of relativity](#) of [Galileo Galilei](#). □

This ---stated> all observers

L who ---move uniformly> relative /to/ each other

<are> equal

[and] no absolute state of motion <can be attributed---\$ /to/ any observer. □

/During/ the 19th century

the [ether Theory](#) <was widely accepted---\$,

/mostly in/ the form <given by--- [James Clerk Maxwell](#). □

/According to/ Maxwell

all optical and electrical phenomena ---propagate> /in/ a medium. □

[Thus] it ---seemed> possible

/to/ \$---determine> *absolute* motion <relative to> the aether

[and therefore] /to/ \$--- disprove> Galileo's Principle. □

Those experiments and their failure ---lead to>

the development of the [Maxwell-Lorentzian Electrodynamics](#)

/by/ [Hendrik Lorentz](#). □

[Henri Poincaré](#) ---formally completed> this

/by/ \$---stating> the Relativity Principle

<as> a general law of nature,

\$---including> [Electrodynamics](#) and [Gravitation](#). □

[Albert Einstein](#) ---eventually devised> [Special Relativity](#) (SR)

/by/ \$---completely re-interpreting> Lorentzian Electrodynamics

/by/ \$---changing> the concepts of space and time

/and/ ---abolishing> the aether. □

This ---paved> the way /to/ [General Relativity](#). □

Subsequent work of [Hermann Minkowski](#)

---laid> the foundations of [Relativistic Field Theories](#). □

From aether to relativity principle

1816 – [Augustin-Jean Fresnel](#) ---developed> a Stationary Aether Theory

/in/ which light ---propagates> <as> a transverse wave

[and] aether <was partially dragged /with/ a certain coefficient by--- matter.□

[Based on] this assumption,

Fresnel ---was able to explain> the [Aberration of light](#)

<and> many optical phenomena.^[A 1] □

1845 – [George Gabriel Stokes](#),

<contrary to> Fresnel,

---stated> that the aether <was *fully* dragged by--- matter. □

/In/ his model/ the aether <might be (by analogy with pine pitch) rigid---\$

/at/ very high frequencies and fluid /at/ lower speeds. □

[Thus] the Earth ---could move through> it /fairly freely,

[but] it <would be> rigid /enough to/ \$ ---support> light. [\[A 2\]](#) □

1851 – Both theories <were considered---\$,

[but] Fresnel's theory <was favoured--- \$

[because] his [dragging coefficient](#) <was confirmed by---

the experiments of [Armand Hippolyte Louis Fizeau](#),

L who ---measured> the speed of light /in/ moving liquids. [\[A 3\]\[B 1\]\[B 2\]](#) □

1861–1864 – [James Clerk Maxwell](#) ---developed> a set of equations

/in/ electricity, magnetism and inductance, <named-- [Maxwell's equations](#). □

He ---first proposed> that light <was> /in fact/

undulations ([Electromagnetic radiation](#)) /in/ the *same* aetherial medium

that <is> the cause of electric and magnetic phenomena. [\[A 4\]](#) □

History of special relativity 02 Michelson

1881 – [Albert Abraham Michelson](#) ---tried to measure>

the relative motion of earth and Aether (Aether-Wind),

<as> it <was expected---\$ /in/ Fresnel's theory,

/by/ \$ ---using> an [interferometer](#). □

[However], he ---could not determine> any relative motion,

[so] he ---interpreted> the result <as> a confirmation of the thesis of Stokes.^[A 5] □

1881 — [J. J. Thomson](#) ---recognized>,

/during/ his development of Maxwell's Theory,

that charged bodies <are> harder /to/ set /in/ motion

<than> uncharged bodies. □

Electrostatic fields ---behave>

<as if> they ---add> an "electromagnetic mass"

/beside/ the mechanical mass /to/ the bodies. □

[I.e.], <according to> Thomson,

electromagnetic energy ---corresponds to> a certain mass.^[A 6] □

1886 — [Hendrik Lorentz](#) ---showed>

Michelson's 1881 experiment calculations <were> wrong

[and therefore] the experiment <was> not conclusive. □

This <was admitted by--- Michelson himself.^[A 7] □

Lorentz ---also showed> that a complete drag of the aether <as> in Stokes' Theory

<is> self-contradictory.^{[B 1][B 2]} □

1886 — Michelson and [Edward Morley](#) ---performed> an experiment

/to/ \$---check> Fizeau's experiment,

L which ---measured> Fresnel's dragging coefficient /in/ a moving liquid. □

Fresnel's theory <was confirmed---\$ <very exact on> that occasion. □

Michelson <was> now of the opinion

L that a nearly stationary aether <is confirmed---\$. [\[A 8\]](#) □

1887 — Michelson and Morley

---published> the results of repeating Michelson's 1881-experiment. □

The now famous [Michelson-Morley experiment](#)

---didn't yield> the expected positive result,

[and] <was> /in/ sharp <contrast to> the 1886 Michelson and Morley experiment,

which ---spoke for> Fresnel's stationary aether. □

[However], Stokes's alternative of a fully-dragged aether

<was> hardly justifiable either,

[because of] Lorentz's 1886 arguments. [\[A 9\]](#) □

1887 — [Woldemar Voigt](#) ---investigated> the Doppler Effect

/for/ waves ---propagating> /in/ an incompressible elastic medium

[and] ----deduced> /for/ the first time/ relativistic transformation relations,

L which ---have> some similarity /to/ the 'Lorentz Transformation'. □

He ---started from> the corresponding partial differential equation. □

He ---assumed> a wave expression <as> a solution of it

[and] ---inserted> /in/ the argument

L◇ the most general form of the [Galilean Transformation](#),

L which accounts /for/ <both> a rotation of coordinates <and> a shift /in/ time.□

The Relativistic Transformation ---relations> /for/ some special cases

he ---deduced> then

/by/ \$---subjecting> the Galilei transformed wave expression

/to/ the partial differential wave equation. □

Voigt ---distinguished strictly>

/between/ transformation relations <valid for> *longitudinal waves*

/and/ transformation relations <valid for> *transverse waves*

(<such as> electromagnetic waves). □

The [Voigt-Transformation](#) ---predicted>

the negative result of the following Michelson–Morley Experiment,

[but] the equations <were not> symmetrical. □

[However], Voigt’s work <was completely ignored by--- his contemporaries.^[A 10] □

1889 – [Oliver Heaviside](#) ---continued> the 1881 work of Thomson

[and] ---recognized> that the mass of a body <is increased---\$,

[not only] when it <is charged---\$,

[but] the electromagnetic mass <is also increased----\$ /due to/ higher velocity.□

[Additionally] he ---determined>

that the electrostatic fields <were contracted---\$,

/in/ the line of motion (Heaviside Ellipsoid),

which ---leads to>

\$ ---physically undetermined> conditions /at/ the speed of light.^[A 11] □

1889 – [Following] Heaviside,

[George FitzGerald](#) ----suggested>

that also material bodies ---contract>/in/ the line of motion ([length contraction](#)),
which ---could explain>

the negative result of the Michelson–Morley experiment. [\[A 12\]](#) □

1890 – [After] [Heinrich Hertz](#) /in/ 1887/

---had proven> the existence of electromagnetic waves, [\[B 1\]](#)

he (and, similar to him, Heaviside) /in/ 1890/

---further developed> Maxwell’s theory. [\[A 13\]\[A 14\]](#) □

The “Maxwell–Hertz” Equations ---subsequently formed>

an important basis /for/ the further development of electrodynamics. □

Hertz ---assumed>,

<like> Stokes,

that the aether <was completely carried along by--- the bodies

– which <was not in accordance with> experiments. □

/At/ the beginning of the 20th century

his theory <was also directly disproved by--- experiment

[and] <was replaced by--- the theory of Lorentz. □

Hertz <was> one of the last proponents of the “mechanical world–view”,

[according to] which all electromagnetic processes <should be reduced--- \$

/to/ mechanical impact <and> contact actions. [\[B 2\]](#) □

History of special relativity 03 Lorentz

1892 — Lorentz ---set> the foundations of [Lorentz Aether/Electron Theory](#),

/by/ \$ ---assuming> the existence of [electrons](#)

<as> the source of electromagnetic fields

[and] /by/ \$ ---replacing> the “Maxwell-Hertz” Equations

/by/ the “Maxwell-Lorentz” Equations. □

/In/ his model,

the aether <is> completely motionless

[and],

<contrary to> Fresnel’s theory,

<also is not partially dragged by--- matter. □

He ---gave> no statements /about/ the mechanical nature of the aether

<and> the electromagnetic processes,

[but],

/vice-versa/,

---tried to explain> the mechanical processes /by/ electromagnetic ones

[and therefore] ---created> an abstract Electromagnetic Aether. □

/In/ the framework of his theory,

Lorentz ---calculated>,

<like> Heaviside,

the contraction of the electrostatic fields.[\[A 15\]](#) □

/In/ the same year/ he ---proposed> length contraction

<independently from> Fitzgerald

/in order to/ \$ ---explain> the Michelson–Morley experiment. □

/For/ plausibility reasons/,

Lorentz ---referred to> the analogy of the contraction of electrostatic fields. □

[However], even Lorentz ---admitted> that

◇ that <was not> a necessary reason

[and] length-contraction ---consequently remained>

<as> a purely *ad-hoc* hypothesis. [\[A 16\]](#) □

1895 – Lorentz ---introduced> the “Theorem of Corresponding States”

/for terms on/ the order of v/c . □

This theorem ---states>

that a moving observer (<relative to> the aether) /in/ his „fictitious“ field

---makes> the same observations

<as> a resting observers /in/ his „real“ field. □

An important part of it <was> local time $t' = t - vx/c^2$,

which ---paved> the way /to/ the [Lorentz Transformation](#)

[and] which he ---introduced independently of> Voigt. □

/With/ the help of this concept,

Lorentz ---could explain> the [aberration of light](#),

the [Doppler Effect](#) <and> the measurements of the Fresnel drag coefficient

/by/ Hippolyte Fizeau /in/ moving and resting liquids /as well/. □

[However],

Lorentz' s local time <was not> the time <measured by--- watches,

<but only> an auxiliary mathematical tool. □

[However] Lorentz ---recognized> the fact

that his theory ---violated> the principle of action and reaction,

[since] the aether ---acts on> matter,

[but] matter ---cannot act on> the immobile aether.[\[A.17\]](#) □

1895 — [Henri Poincaré](#) ---judged> that,

[despite] the violation of the Reaction Principle,

the theory of Lorentz <is> the least defective of all theories of electrodynamics. □

[Because], <contrary to> the other theories,

it ---can explain> the Fizeau experiment

<and> the Conservation of Electricity /and/ Magnetism. □

[Contrary to] Lorentz,

who ---only wanted to explain>

the negative (optical) aether ---drift> experiments of first order /to/ v/c ,

Poincaré (<based on--- the Michelson–Morley experiment)

<was> of the opinion

that it <is> only possible /to/ \$---observe> relative motions of matter,

[but not] absolute motion [nor] motion <relative to> the aether.[\[A.18\]](#)

1897 — [Joseph Larmor](#) ---created> a model <very similar to> Lorentz's. □

[However], he ---went> a step /further/

[and] ---extended> the Lorentz Transformation /for/ second order terms. □

[So] Larmor <was> the first /to/ \$---put>

the Lorentz Transformation /in/ an algebraically equivalent form,

which ---is used to> this day. □

He ---noticed on> that occasion,

that [not only] \$---can> length-contraction <be derived from--- it,

[but] he ---also calculated>

some sort of [Time Dilation](#) /for/ electron orbits.^[A 19] □

Larmor ---specified> his considerations /in/ 1900.^[A 20] □

/In/ 1899,

Lorentz ---extended> his transformation /for/ second order terms

[and] ---noted> a (mathematical) Time Dilation effect /as well/. □

The integration of the speed-dependence of masses <recognized by-- Thomson

<was especially> important /for/ his theory. □

He ---noticed> that the mass ---not only varied> /due to/ speed,

<but is also> dependent /on/ the direction,

[and] he ---introduced>

what Abraham ---later called> "longitudinal" and "transverse" mass. □

(The transversal mass ---corresponds to>

what later <was called---\$ <> [Relativistic Mass](#)).^[A 21] □

1898 — /In/ the second half of the 19th century

there <were> many attempts

/to/ \$ ----develop> a world-wide clock network

<synchronized by--- electrical signals. □

/On/ that occasion,

the finite propagation speed of light <had to be considered----\$ /as well/.^[B 3] □

[So] [Henri Poincaré](#) ----drew> some important consequences of this process

[and] ---explained> that astronomers,

/in/ \$ ----determining> the speed of light,

---simply assume>

that light ---has> a constant speed,

[and] that this speed <is> the same /in/ all directions. □

[Without] this [postulate](#)

it ---would be> impossible

/to/ \$ ---infer> the speed of light /from/ astronomical observations,

[as] [Ole Rømer](#) ----did based on> observations of the moons of Jupiter. □

Poincaré ---also noted> that the propagation speed of light

<can be (and in practice often is) used---\$

/to/ \$ ---define> simultaneity

/between/ spatially separate events. □

He ---concluded> /by/ \$ ---saying>,

that “The simultaneity of two events,

<or> the order of their succession,

the equality of two durations,

<are to be so defined---\$

that the enunciation of the natural laws ---may be> <as simple as> possible. □

[In other words], all these rules,

all these definitions

<are only> the fruit of an unconscious opportunism.”^[A 22] □

History of special relativity 04 Poincaré

1900 – <Like in> 1895,

Poincaré ---argued> that experiments

<like> that of Michelson–Morley ---show>

the impossibility of \$---detecting> the absolute motion of matter

/or/ the relative motion of matter

/in/ relation /to/ the aether. □

He ---called> this <> the “principle of relative motion.”^[A 23] □

/In the same year/

he ---interpreted> Lorentz’s local time

<as> the result of a synchronization procedure <based on--- light signals. □

He ---assumed> that 2 observers <> A and B,

which ---are moving> /in/ the aether,

---synchronize> their clocks /by/ optical signals. □

[Since] they ---believe> themselves /to/ <be> at rest,

they ---must consider> only the transmission time of the signals

<and then> cross-reference their observations

/to/ \$---examine> ◇whether their clocks <are> synchronous. □

[However], /from/ the point of view of an observer ◇ at rest /in/ the aether,

the clocks <are not> synchronous

[and] ---indicate> the local time $t' = t - vx/c^2$. □

[But because]

the moving observers ---do not know> anything /about/ their movement,

they ---do not recognize> this. □

[So], <contrary to> Lorentz,

Poincaré-defined local time <can be measured---\$

[and] <indicated by--- clocks.^[B.4] □

/In the same work/

Poincaré ---recognized>

that electromagnetic energy ---behaves like> a fictitious fluid

/with/ mass density of $m = E/c^2$ (or $E = mc^2$)

[and] ---defined> a fictitious electromagnetic momentum /as well/. □

[However], he ---arrived at> a radiation paradox

which <was fully explained by--- Einstein in 1905.^[A 24]

1900 — [Wilhelm Wien](#) ---assumed>

([following] the works of Thomson <and> [George Frederick Charles Searle](#))

that the *entire* mass <is> of electromagnetic origin

[and] the formula /for/ the mass-energy-relationship <is> $m = (4/3)E/c^2$. □

This <was formulated in--- the context

that all forces of nature <are> electromagnetic ones

(the Electromagnetic World View). □

Wien ---stated> that,

[if] it <is assumed-- that gravitation <is> an electromagnetic effect /too/,

[then] there ---has to be> a proportionality

/between/ electromagnetic energy, inertial mass

/and/ gravitational mass.^[A 25] □

1900 — [Emil Cohn](#) ---created> an alternative Electrodynamics

/in/ which he,

<as> one of the first,

---discarded> the existence of the aether

(at least in the previous form)

[and] ---would use>,

<like> [Ernst Mach](#),

the fixed stars <as> a reference frame instead.^[A 26] □

[Due to] internal failures (<like> different light speeds /in/ different directions)

his theory <was superseded by--- Lorentz's and Einstein's. □

1901 — [Menyhért Palágyi](#) ---presented> a philosophical model,

[according to] which space and time

<were> only two sides of some sort of "spacetime". □

He ---used> time <as> a imaginary fourth dimension,

which he ---already gave> the form it (where $i = \sqrt{-1}$). □

[However],

there ---exists> no connection

/between/ his philosophy /and/ Lorentz's Electrodynamics,

[because], <contrary to> Lorentz's local time,

Palágyi's time coordinate <is not connected to--- the speed of light. □

He ---also rejected> any connection

/with/ the already-existing constructions of n-dimensional spaces

/and/ non-Euclidean geometry. □

(/Characteristically/, Palágyi ---later rejected>

also the spacetime constructions of Minkowski and Einstein,

which <were developed---\$ /in/ the spirit of non-Euclidean geometry).^[A 27] □

1901–1903 — [Walter Kaufmann](#) <was> the first

/to/ \$---confirm> the velocity dependence of mass.^[A 28] □

1902 — Max Abraham ---submitted> an explanation /for/ Kaufmann's experiments

[and], <following> Lorentz,

he ---coined> the names <◇> Longitudinal and Transverse Mass. □

/In/ <contrast to> Lorentz,

he ---didn't believe in> the Contraction Hypothesis,

[and therefore] his mass terms <differed from> those of Lorentz. □

Kaufmann's experiments <were>,

[however],

<not> precise /enough to/ \$---distinguish>

/between/ the theories of Lorentz /and/ Abraham. □

[Following] Poincaré,

Abraham ---introduced> the concept of "Electromagnetic Momentum",

which,

/in/ <contrast to> Poincaré,

he ---considered> <as> a *real* physical entity

which <is proportional to> E/c^2 .^{[A 29][A 30]} □

1902 — Poincaré ---published> the philosophical /and/ popular-scientific book

<◇> "Science and Hypothesis",

which ---included> {◇#} [A 31] □

◇# philosophical assessments /on/ the relativity of space, time, and simultaneity

◇# the opinion that a violation of the Relativity Principle

<can never be detected---\$

◇# the possible non-existence of the aether

◇# many remarks /on/ the non-Euclidean geometry.

1904 – /In/ May,

Lorentz ---came very near to>

\$---creating> a Lorentz-covariant formulation of Electrodynamics

([although] he ---didn't succeeded completely>). □

[Like] Wien and Abraham,

he ---argued>

that there ---exists> only electromagnetic mass, <not> mechanical mass. □

Another important step <was> the postulate

that the Lorentz Transformation ---has to be> valid

/for/ non-electrical forces /as well/. [\[A 32\]](#) □

1904 – Cohn,

[following] the work of Lorentz,

(<like> Poincaré)

---noticed> that local time <was not only> a mathematical construct,

<but was> the result of \$---synchronizing>

moving clocks /by/ light signals. □

Cohn ---believed> that this <is only> valid /for/ optical phenomena,

<but> mechanical clocks ---would indicate>

the “real” time. [\[A 33\]](#) □

[Also] Abraham ---criticized>

that Lorentz's theory of the contracted electrons

<is not compatible with> the electromagnetic conception of the world,

[since] non-electric forces <are needed---\$

/in order to/ \$---guarantee> the stability of matter. □

[Thus] the question ---arose>

/whether/ the Electromagnetic conception of the world

(<> compatible /with/ Abraham's theory)

/or/ the Relativity Principle

(<> compatible /with/ Lorentz's Theory)

<was> correct. [\[A 34\]](#) □

1904 — /In/ a September lecture /in/ [St. Louis](#),

Poincaré ---defined>

(/in/ modification of Galileo's Relativity Principle

<and> Lorentz's Theorem of Corresponding States)

the following principle

<:> "The Principle of Relativity,

<according to> which the laws of physical phenomena

<must be> the same /for/ a stationary observer

<as for> one ---carried along>

/in/ a uniform motion of translation,

[so that] we ---have> no means,

[and] ---can have> none,

of \$---determining>

/whether or not/

we <are> <being carried along---\$ /in/ such a motion." □

He ---also specified> his clock synchronization method

[and] ---explained> the possibility of a "new method" <or> "new mechanics",

/in/ which no velocity ---can surpass> that of light /for/ *all* observers.□

[However],

he ---critically noted> that the Relativity Principle,

Newton's action and reaction,

the [Conservation of Mass](#)

/and/ the [Conservation of Energy](#)

<are not fully established---\$

[and] <are even threatened by--- some experiments.^[A 35] □

1904 – [Friedrich Hasenöhr](#) ---suggested> that part of the mass of a body

(which he ---called> apparent mass)

<can be thought of---\$ <as> radiation ---bouncing> /around/ a cavity.□

The apparent mass of radiation ---depends on> the temperature

([because] every heated body ---emits> radiation)

[and] <is proportional to> its energy,

[and] he ---first concluded> that $m = (8/3)E/c^2$. □

[However], Abraham and Hasenöhrh himself /in/ 1905/

---changed> the result /to/ $m = (4/3)E/c^2$,

the same value /for/ the electromagnetic mass /for/ a body /at/ rest. □

[However], Hasenöhrh ---stated>

that this energy-apparent-mass relation ---only holds>

<as long> a body ---radiates>,

[i.e.], [if] the temperature of a body <is> greater than 0 K. [\[A 36\]](#)[\[A 37\]](#) □

1905 – /On/ 5 June,

[Henri Poincaré](#) ---submitted> the summary of a work

which ---closed> the existing gaps of Lorentz's work. □

(This short paper ---contained> the results of a more complete work

which <was published---\$ /in/ January 1906). □

He ---showed> that Lorentz's equations of electrodynamics

<were not fully> Lorentz-covariant. □

[So] he ---pointed out> the group characteristics of the transformation,

[and] he ---corrected> Lorentz's formulae

/for/ the transformations of [charge density](#)

/and/ current density

(which ---implicitly contained> the relativistic [velocity-addition formula](#),

which he ---elaborated> /in/ May /in/ a letter /to/ Lorentz). □

Poincaré ---used> /for/ the first time/ the term <> "Lorentz transformation",

[and] he ---gave> them > the symmetrical form

which <is used to---\$ /this day/. □

He ---introduced> a non-electrical binding force

/to/ \$---ensure> the stability of the electrons

[and] /to/ \$---explain> length contraction. □

He ---also sketched> a Lorentz-invariant model of gravitation

(---including> gravitational waves)

/by/ \$---extending> the validity of Lorentz-invariance

/to/ non-electrical forces.^[A 38] □